Final Paper 1	Rubrio	٠
---------------	--------	---

Name:	Date: 11 December 2014		
Score: Full participation in pre-writing activities (including co	onferences): Yes/No (loss of 10%)/300 points =%		
Final Presentation:/100 points			

Tima Trosoman	•			
Thesis (25)	• Articulates the purpose	Satisfactory (B – C)  • Articulates the purpose of	• Lacks thesis OR does	Comments
Thesis (23)	<ul> <li>Articulates the purpose and argument of the paper in a clear, concise fashion.</li> <li>Excellent connection between the thesis and the argument/purpose of the paper.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Articulates the purpose of the paper in a clear fashion.</li> <li>Good connection between the thesis and the body of the paper.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lacks thesis OR does not articulate the purpose of the paper clearly.</li> <li>Thesis is not connected with the body of the paper</li> </ul>	
Course	Insightful and original use	Attempts to use theme from	Fails to coherently use	
Theme (50)	of a theme from this course throughout entire paper.  • Applies themes to close reading of text/artifact.	this course within the paper.  Relates theme loosely to close reading or text/artifact.	themes from this course.  • Fails to apply themes to close reading of text/artifact.	
Analysis (150)	<ul> <li>Insightful and original close reading of the primary text.</li> <li>Analyzes the significance of close reading and makes a strong argument about the primary text.</li> <li>Includes paraphrase and direct quotes from research and secondary materials but uses primary texts as the primary basis for extended analysis.</li> <li>At least 80% of paper is in author's own words.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good close reading of primary text.</li> <li>Explains the significance of close reading and makes some argument about the primary text.</li> <li>Includes paraphrase and direct quotes but primarily as the basis for extended analysis.</li> <li>At least 70% of paper is in author's own words.</li> </ul>	Lacks strong close reading of the text.     Includes summary of primary text, but does not make coherent analysis that explains the significance of the close reading.	
Secondary	Provides useful context	Includes some context from	Lacks context for text	
Sources and Context (75)	from a credible source that advances the ARGUMENT of the paper.  • Strong use of secondary and contextual sources to establish argument about the primary text.  • Incorporates sources fluidly into essay's argument.  • Attempts to enter into conversation with secondary sources, recognizing the work of previous scholars and building upon that conversation.	<ul> <li>a credible source that is loosely related to text or theme of the paper.</li> <li>Good use of secondary and contextual sources to establish argument about primary text.</li> <li>Attempts to incorporate sources into essay.</li> <li>Recognizes earlier scholarship in argument.</li> </ul>	and theme of paper.  Context is not from a credible source.  Lacks secondary and/or contextual sources to establish argument.  Does not use secondary/contextual sources to further argument.  Makes vague generalizations when research would be appropriate.	
Final Presentation (100)	<ul> <li>Concise presentation of main points in <i>both</i> text and visual.</li> <li>Interesting and engaging.</li> </ul>	Identifies some points from paper.	<ul> <li>Does not present main points or does not present points clearly.</li> <li>Confusing.</li> </ul>	